



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/872,353

06/01/2001

Brian R. McCarthy

67134-5038

3630

7590

08/23/2006

Douglas N. Larson, Esq
JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
7th Floor
1900 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308

EXAMINER

CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1772

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/872,353

Applicant(s)

MCCARTHY ET AL.

Examiner

Alicia Chevalier

Art Unit

1772

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 314-409 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 314-409 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/11/06, 1/20/06, 2/18/06, 5/12/06, 6/12/06
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

Request for Continued Examination

1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53 (d) filed on June 12, 2006 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
2. Claims 314-409 are pending in the application, claims 1-313 have been cancelled.
3. Amendments to the claims, filed on June 12, 2006, have been entered in the above-identified application.

NEW REJECTIONS

4. **The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 314-409 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In the instant case amended claims 314, 339, 363 and 387 contain(s) the limitation

Art Unit: 1772

“the ultraremovable adhesive ... the back surfaces being non-tacky and the exposed portion of the liner sheet being tacky.” The examiner is unable to find support for the limitation in the specification, therefore this limitation is considered new matter.

The specification on pages 8-9, paragraph [0023] recites;

The ultraremovable adhesive is peeled off with the paper waste strips and the cover strips thereby providing a clean back side to the cardstock sheet (and thereby the printed media). The clean back sides) (even when a coating thereon is provided) advantageously can be written on, that is, it accepts pencil, ink and even inkjet and laser printing. *The ultraremovable adhesive sticks to the paper allowing for easy removal and disposal of the paper strips, and even though it is tacky it does not stick to anything permanently.* In contrast, the “Paper Direct” product uses a removable adhesive. (Generally, adhesions of “ultraremovable To assist the sheet in being fed into the printer or copier the lead-in edge thereof is preferably calendered, unlike the “Paper Direct” product. The web, before sheeting, is preferably calendered with textured calendering dies before the face cutting station. The calendering step is also preferably performed after the printing operation on the web wherein identifying and explanatory information is printed on the cardstock.” adhesives at their highest adhesion levels (to a surface such as stainless steel) are roughly half of what they are for conventional “removable” adhesive. A fundamental difference is that conventional adhesives provide complete contact with a substrate while ultraremovable adhesive provide partial contact. This limited contact area is what prevents an ultraremovable adhesive from becoming permanent, over time.)

This is the only that discuss the “tackiness” of the adhesive and it state that the adhesive is completely tacky not just partially. The new matter must be deleted.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT’S ARGUMENTS

7. Applicant’s arguments in the response filed June 12, 2006 regarding the previous rejections of record have been considered but are moot due to the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 1772


Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac
8/20/06



**ALICIA CHEVALIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER**